# EIGHTEENTH MEETING OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL ON THE HUMANITIES

Friday, May 9, 1986

8:30 A. M.

Old Post Office Building Council Room M-09 - 1st Floor 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C.

EBERLIN REPORTING SERVICE 12708 Valleywood Drive Wheaton, Maryland 20906 (301) 933-7248

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	OPEN TO THE PUBLIC	PAGE
3	Introductory Remarks Mr. Agresto	3
4	Application Report and Matching Report Mr. Cherrington	9
6	Status of Fiscal Year 1986 Funds Mr. Cherrington	12
7	Fiscal Year 1987 Appropriation Request Mr. Cherrington	13
8	Fiscal Year 1988 Budget Planning Mr. Cherrington	14
9	Education Programs Mr. Allen	15
11	Fellowship Programs Ms. Himmelfarb	19
12	Preservation Grants	
13	Fr. Schall	21
14	Mr. Kass	22
15 16	Mr. Ritcheson	23
17	Ms. Cresimore	25
18	Education Programs Mr. Allen	29
19	Fellowship Programs Ms. Himmelfarb	37
20	Preservation Grants Fr. Schall	
22	Research Programs	38
23	Mr. Kass	39
24	Mr. Ritcheson	41
25	Ms. Cresimore	56

#### PROCEEDINGS

MR. KINGSTON: Ladies and gentlemen may we begin please? I will call to order the 80th meeting of the National Council for the Humanities. Let me note at the Beginning here that we have— This may be an exercise in futility today but we have segregated the smokers from the nonsmokers. And if this seating arrangement is still unsatisfactory to Council members, do let me know, we will see what else we can do later.

Council members have received a copy of the draft minutes from the last meeting in the folders that were at the committee meetings yesterday. Are there any additions or corrections to those minutes?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: There being none they will be entered as published. John do you have any introductory remarks?

#### Introductory Remarks

MR. AGRESTO: Thank you Tom. I just have a few things I would like to mention at this meeting today. First, I think all of you know and most of you have, in fact, even met Lynn Chaney. But most of you know that she has been nominated for the Chairmanship of NEH. Her hearings are, in fact, a few days from now; this coming Monday. And I could say with almost absolute certainty that she will be the Chairman of NEH starting at the next meeting of this Council.

That is number one.

Number two, some of you wanted to know about

Council committee members; where that stands for new Council

members? The last word I received from the White House was

that they have started the process of nomination; that they

have a few names who— that they have not allowed to be

public yet; who have— are starting on FBI checks. That at

least some, I can't say that all but some, may well be at the

Senate by the time of the next Council meeting. Whether they

will be confirmed or not I don't know and on that I can give

no assurances. That is number two.

MS. KERR: Excuse me John?

MR. AGRESTO: Yes.

MS. KERR: If they are not confirmed (Inaudible).

MR. AGRESTO: Until your successors are confirmed you remain on the Council.

The third thing. Every now and then we start a program -- Yes, we start something and things always go wrong unanticipatedly and unexpectedly. Every now and then we start a program when things go right unanticipatedly.

We talked a few Council meetings ago of a small program that we had started here at the Endowment whereupon--whereby Professors of Law and Judges could come to Summer Seminars on the Constitution. A small program, just three seminars in the summer, run by a law professor and a historian

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or a law professor and a political scientist.

And moving along apace, it was going fairly well until the USIA called us and said that could some Filipino lawmakers and judges attend some of these seminars because they were about to write a new constitution for the Philippines and these were people who had, in fact, written a previous constitution and they would like to come and sit in our seminars on the Founding of the American Constitution. Totally unanticipated but I just want to let you know that every now and then that things go just swimmingly correct. This is, I think, you know, a great occasion for us.

So we will have, for example, in Chicago we will have three Filipino lawmakers and law professors there who will be taking part in the writing of new Philippine constitution, studying under Ralph Lerner and Phil Kurland, the origins and foundations of American constitutionalism.

My last point, and for this I would like actually to read something. When I appeared at the last Appropriations Hearings, before Sid Yates, he asked what was new. And I talked about some things that were old and on-going but I did talk about a new direction I thought the Agency should at least be partly involved in. Probably easiest for me to read from my testimony.

"What I would like to make public today is not a new initiative or a new program or a new division but I would like

to talk about a general direction I think the Agency should take. I want to look at the seeds of a program, if I could today, and I mean to be general and not specific.

There really was a time in American education when the best things in this culture were taught but they were available only to the few and to those few who could go to Harvard or Yale or Chicago. And those few would get exposure to the best that have been thought and learned.

There was, again, a time after that in American history when the educational community and others questioned whether there was such a thing as the best or that anyone should study it. There was a time of reductions in standards; a reductions even in the acceptability of the idea that there was a culture to be learned.

I think we are going to start a third phase now.

And I think it will go like this and I think NEH should be a part of it. We should start talking about the best for all.

And I would like to talk about it not only a restoration of the Humanities but a restoration and an expansion of it. I would like to leave to my successor some kind of program; some kind of direction for the Agency that will talk about access to excellence.

The Access to Excellence Program, if I could call it that, should be concentrated in the Education Division primarily. And it could include such things as Teacher

Institutes aimed at inner city schools; starting gifted and talented programs in places far away from rich communities; in rural areas and in tribal communities.

I think we should have, in the future, a full time staff person coordinating all these efforts and sharing what we have discovered with the 50 states and with the state committees. I think we should start working more with local libraries, to start reading programs in rural areas or in the inner cities for recent immigrants. I think we should start funding model institutions."

If I could skip over some things. "So again, this is not yet a program. It is just a few ideas in a basic direction. I wanted to talk about it here. I guess the words that would underline this program would be the best for all. I think that is a healthy kind of a elitism and a healthy kind of Americanism.

If I had more time I think what I would like to do; what would I take to be my very last meeting as Chairman, is to look at the direction we have taken and look at this as the Capstone of it. We have the right to restore the Humanities. We have the right to restore seriousness to the Humanities. We have the right to restore some understanding of the importance of culture and this culture to the Humanities. And now I think the last thing we need to do is to say, this legacy is available to all. And so I leave this as, in a

sense, my last directive act." Thank you Tom. 1 (Applause) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Applause) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 here. Thank you. 18 19 20 21 22 folder. And what we have proposed is a sequence of dates for 23 24 the 1987 meetings of the National Council.

MR. KINGSTON: Yes, Phil, please. MR. SHAEFER: I don't know if anyone has taken the opportunity not only, John, to thank you for your wonderful service on this Council but also to congratulate you on your new nomination which is almost or absolutely certain to be confirmed at the National Archives. MR. AGRESTO: Thank you Phil. MR. KINGSTON: You received, in your folders, the brief biographies of two new members of Staff. And I would simply like to have them stand and be recognized at this time. Mary Chunko is the new Managing Editor of Humanities Magazine. Mary is back over here. And Ed Miller has just joined the Division of Education Programs. Ed is back over In your folder, under Tab A, is a list of the contracts awarded for the second quarter and it is an absent list because there were no contracts in the second quarter. In May, we always determine the dates for future Council meetings and so I refer you to Tab B of the agenda

Are there any questions, concerns, other issues to

dates are acceptable is to entertain a motion for accepting 2 them for next year. 3 MR. KASS: So moved. MR. KINGSTON: Is there a second? 5 MR. Second. 6 MR. KINGSTON: All those in favor signify by saying 7 Aye. 8 9 (A show of hands was seen) MR. KINGSTON: Any opposed? 10 (No response) 11 12 MR. KINGSTON: All right, the dates as they have 13 been published will be the meeting dates for the next calendar 14 year. The next item on the Agenda is the Application 15 Action Report and Matching Funds Report. Mr. Cherrington. 16 Application Report and Matching Report 17 MR. CHERRINGTON: Thank you Tom. The Application 18 Report is in your brown folder and it is labeled Tab C. 19 one you have before you compares the first two Councils in 20 fiscal '85 and the first two in '86. 21 22 And as I mentioned in the memo, the total numbers of 23 applications and awards are up nine percent from the 24 equivalent time last year. Again, the main reason is younger 25 scholars. As I have said several times, there were deadline

raise with those dates? What we would like to do if these

problems with this in '85; '86, these have been straightened out. And we also have an amazing response to John's initiative for the Bicentennial and Younger Scholars Program.

You may notice some big shifts in Division totals but these are actually the results of the transfer in younger scholarships— younger scholars from general to fellowships and transferring Travel to Collections from research to fellowships.

You may also notice that Applications to the Elementary and Secondary Program in Education are down significantly in '86. I talked to Pam Menke about this and she explained that in 1984, fiscal '84, there was a big surge in Applications to E and S because there had been about a year and a half lag time after the introduction of new guidelines in education. After this year and a half there was a big surge in applications.

To recapture this momentum the Division has scheduled a series of workshops and regional meetings. This November and January there were two held with HBCU workshops. Three more of these are planned for the fall. And they will also be having some regional meetings on the west coast and the midwest.

MR. KINGSTON: Are there any questions about the Application Report?

(No response)

.

MR. CHERRINGTON: Next up is the Matching Report and that is also in the brown folder. As I mentioned, Matching is running behind last year about 19 percent. Even if that trend continued, which we don't think it will, that would mean that fiscal 1986 would be the second highest Matching year we have ever had; 285.

What we report and really think is going on, the pace of Matching in the beginning of fiscal '85 was very, very strong. Congress had reduced our Treasury appropriation and we think many grantees were concerned we had run out of Treasury funds and were trying to certify things much earlier. We do think National Retainer to be strong in '86.

The use of definite funds to match gifts is up around six percent. That is also something we would like to see. It is good to match wherever we can. But we would really prefer more Treasury funds. If our request in 1987 is approved we will have the highest appropriation of Treasury funds we have ever had here.

We prefer Treasury funds because their use is flexible. We can put Treasury funds where they are needed; where the gifts are coming in without going back to Congress for reprogramming.

MR. KINGSTON: Any questions about status of Treasury funds?

(No response)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KINGSTON: Fiscal '86 Status Report.

Status of Fiscal Year 1986 Funds

MR. CHERRINGTON: There is no memo on this in the brown folder. '86 funds are being spent as we planned. By the end of the year some allocations will be up, some will be down but we don't see any need for reprogramming. Through the end of April, about half of Program funds have been spent and a little more than half a year is over.

As John mentioned at the breakfast meeting yesterday, National Capital Parks and Cultural Affairs Program will be with us in fiscal '86. Yesterday was the applications deadline for this Program. We have received 15 applications; the nine mentioned in the legislation plus six others. I can't tell you what those six are because we are in open session. I will tell you in August.

Gramm-Rudman, in 1986, an update. The Supreme Court is right now looking at the constitutionality of this act. If it is declared unconstitutional Congress would have to pass a joint resolution to continue the sequestration in 1986 and the President would have to sign that. It would just be like a rescision act. We think they would probably do this -- do that because we do think the -- all agree that the sequestration in '86 should be continued.

MR. KINGSTON: Any questions about the status of present year's funds?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: All right, the submission of the '87 Appropriations Request.

#### Fiscal Year 1987 Appropriation Request

MR. CHERRINGTON: Okay. There is another memo in

the brown folder on this. We have the Senate Appropriations

Hearing on March 13th and the House Hearing on April 16th.

Both went very well. As I mention in the memo the Senate

items, the main items of discussion, involve the student loan

defaulters; issues involving reauthorization and the

everpresent National Capital Parks Program.

We were also given some questions for the record which we answered. There are some questions on Emergency Grants. Senator Bumpers had some questions on Summer Seminars and the understanding— American understanding of the nation's initiatives.

In the House Hearing there was a discussion of the state of knowledge by our students in this country. More discussion of our initiatives; the Matching Program and an extended discussion of the Agency's review system.

We also received questions for the record; 12 pages of them. Some concerned the pace of the preservation initiative we have here; Conservation Program; Gramm-Rudman, things like that.

Also, on March 19th there was a hearing for outside

witnesses on our '87 budget. There was general praise for NEH. Most urged continued support for programs. They had a lot of current and past grantees there who testified to the excess of their NEH grants.

As far as the '87 budget, all the budgets that are being discussed right now, OMB, budget in the Senate, are all coming in anticipating a deficit of \$144 billion. If one of these budgets are compromised, among these budgets, is, approved, there will not be a need for a Gramm-Rudman reduction in '87.

In fact, yesterday the House Budget Committee passed a budget that even goes underneath this \$144 billion level.

MR. KINGSTON: Any questions about our Appropriations Request?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: One of the wonders of working for this company is you get to balance three fiscal years at once. We will talk about the 1988 Appropriations Planning.

#### Fiscal Year 1988 Budget Planning

MR. CHERRINGTON: We are all having trouble believing that we actually have to deal with the '88 budget but it is time. In fact, we are going to send out a memo next week to the Division Directors to get this rolling.

As Tom says, it is a really strange time of year

because we are trying to spend '86; we are up with Congress talking about '87; we are actually planning for the third year. It is very confusing.

As I said in my memo that is in the agenda book, as John mentioned yesterday, will not be a budget meeting in '86 because of Gramm-Rudman.

In late July we will send the Council members a budget paper outlining the Agency's plan for '88. And as we keep stressing, anytime anyone has any comments about the budget, please write or call it in.

MR. KINGSTON: Then we should add that the discussion of the budget will be an item on the agenda for August; the August Council meeting.

Are there any questions about Fiscal '88 planning?
(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: Very good. We will move into the General Reports from each of the Divisional Committees.

Mr. Allen is reporting on Education Programs. Bill.

#### Education Programs

MR. ALLEN: In our Public portion of the meeting we focus on two programs with proposals that are being considered at this Council. Pamela Menke introduced the session with brief comments about recent staff changes. She welcomed the Division's newest Program Officer, Edward Miller, whom you met earlier. And she pointed out that the Division is now

benefiting from the services of two IPA's, Paul Peterson, for the Exemplary Projects and Tracy Reed, in Central Disciplines.

She noted with a sense of regret and the Committee shared her regret that Martha Crumpleton would soon be leaving the Central Disciplines Program to accept a new position as Director of Special Studies at Holy Cross in Worchester.

Finally, she announced that Lynn Maxwell-White a long-term Program Officer with experience in several Divisions of the Endowment, had recently been selected as the new Assistant Director of the Central Disciplines Program.

At this point the Committee turned to reports on two of the Division's programs. Carolyn Reed-Wallace told us that over the last four years Elementary and Secondary Schools Programs has awarded approximately \$30 million in grant funds. These funds have directly benefited more than 10,000 of the nation's teachers and administrators in the Humanities.

We then heard reports about three of the Elementary and Secondary Programs most successful Summer Institutes.

Jamie Sarkolov (?) spoke of last summer's five week Harvard Institute on the History and Culture of the Soviet Union. He noted that the Institute had been featured in the Boston Globe which quoted enthusiastic comments from many New England secondary school teachers.

Tom Ward and Stephanie Katz told us about two

CO:: 57:0012: 0002 100M 140

Institutes that took place here in Washington last summer.

One at the Folger on teaching Shakespeare, the other at

Georgetown on teaching the Odessy.

In response to questions from the Committee,

Ms. Katz mentioned that the Georgetown Institute had been the

focus of a great deal of press coverage both locally and

nationally. And that the Folger Program, now in its third

year, had not only reached more than 100 teachers around the

country but had spawned Shakespeare Festivals in at least two

western states.

Staff emphasized that most of the Division's Elementary and Secondary School Projects involved with wide range of dissemination activities and they pointed out that they are always on the lookout for new ways of helping teachers from a broad cross section of institutions and geographical regions.

The Committee then turned to Sarah Chapman for a report of the Division's Exemplary Projects Program.

Ms. Chapman observed that the aim of the Program is to support projects that benefit multiple institutions. She outlined that some of the specific goals of such grant categories as Institutes for college and university faculty; consortial projects and other initiatives.

Jack Myers pointed out that Summer Institutes differ from Summer Seminars in their focus on ways of improving the

MR. ALLEN: Thank you.

teaching of major text and topics in the Humanities. He illustrated the Institute Program with a description of last summer's highly successful Notre Dame Institute on the Moral Thought of Thomas Aquinas.

Christine Calkie talked to us about two Division supported conference projects. One sponsored by the Newberry Library Center for the History of the American Indian, the other sponsored by Michigan State University.

She exhibited a handsome volume from the University of Chicago's Massive Readings in Western Civilization

Series. And I bring my habits with me from the General Division.

(Laughter)

MR. ALLEN: A project that has made important text available for both Introductory History courses and more advanced courses in the History of Western Thought and Culture.

John Andrews showed the Committee an advertisement that Chris came across recently in an airlines magazine which I will share with you too since we are spreading western civilization. We appreciated it in Committee. You may not be able to read it. We surmise it is Augustine. The caption reads, "Discover Western Civilization at O'Hare."

(Laughter)

MR. KINGSTON: Any questions or comments about the Report from the Education Division? Please join us.

MR. KENNEDY: (Inaudible) please report a statue of

(Laughter)

Augustus.

MR. KINGSTON: Report from the Division of Fellowship Programs, Professor Himmelfarb.

#### Fellowship Programs

MS. HIMMELFARB: During the Open Session the Committee conducted its traditional annual survey of the Division of Fellowships and Seminars, reviewing the Division Programs individually.

The Committee discussed policy changes introduced in the past year and suggested modifications for further study by the Staff.

In the Fellowships Program the Committee noted the new fellowships in the Foundations of American Society. Part of the Endowments Understanding America Initiative.

In the Graduate Study Fellowships of the faculty at historically Black colleges and universities, the Committee was pleased to be told that three of the fellows from the first year of the Program, that is 18-- 1984 to 5-- I keep saying 1884, that cannot be right.

(Laughter)

MS. HIMMELFARB: --have received a doctorate. Three

more expect to receive degrees by the end of the year.

In the Seminars Program the Committee noted that in 1987 the College Teacher's Seminars will conduct a few experimental six-week seminars and normal length of study for these Programs is eight weeks.

The Committee also discussed the optimum numbers of seminars in distribution fields and selection for records.

We also noted that through cooperation of USIA, 17 foreign secondary school teachers will attend 16 of our seminars for secondary school teachers in some phase of American studies this year.

The Younger Scholars Program focused upon the difficulties of students transferring from two-year colleges into four-year universities at the junior level. The Staff was asked to consider ways of addressing this problem and to report to us at the next Committee meeting.

In general, it was agreed that the Programs were functioning well and that these annual surveys were very instructive and helpful. And we shall, of course, continue to make these re-evaluations and entertain proposals for changes that seem appropriate.

MR. KINGSTON: Any comments or questions about Fellowship Programs?

(No Response)

MR. KINGSTON: The report from the Office of

Preservation, Father Schall.

#### Preservation Grants

FR. SCHALL: Our group met on the eighth floor in the Computer Room in order to have a demonstration of the use of data bases in the work of library preservation. Robert Harriman and Todd Butler of the Library of Congress, who work closely with the NEH Staff on the U.S. Newspaper Programs, were there. And they demonstrated the detail with which these bibliographical records are being established and answered questions about their use.

The Committee members were able to see an example of the National Register of Microfilm Masters records, which brought life as it were into the proposal relating to that data base which is before the Council at this meeting.

I might say that they have given an example of how to find out what kind of documents are existing so they have two choices between the operomnia of one gentlemen by the name of Shaw or one gentlemen by the name of Burns and so they chose Shaw. And they went through the total holdings of the Library of Congress; total holdings of the private school libraries in the United States and the total holdings of the college libraries in the United States and they discovered this Shaw didn't exist.

(Laughter)

FR. SCHALL: There was a complete demonstration of how thorough and how vast the potential resources are here and the

question of what to do with them had to do with the availability at least, therefore, and the preservation of these documents and some effort is being made to, in fact, identifying the actual status of the particular records on this data base.

MR. KINGSTON: Any questions about the report from Preservation Office?

(No response)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KINGSTON: Division of Research Programs, Mr. Kass.

#### Research Programs

The Research Committee, breaking with MR. KASS: tradition, actually had a public session but regretably no members of the public were present.

We discussed the paper that had been prepared by the Staff concerning the access category. This paper grew out of a discussion the Committee had in February about the appropriate role for the Endowment and supporting efforts to organize archival collections of materials.

Recognizing that there is probably an infinite number of specialized collections, all of which could claim to be of importance to research scholars, we talked about the ways in which the Endowment ought to go about distinguishing the significant collections from others.

The Committee also took note of a list of

publications that appeared in the last year that were the results of grants made by the Division in previous years. It is an impressive list of 230 items, mostly books, including a great many prize winners of a number of highly publicized reference works.

Members of the Council who are interested in having a copy of this list ought to ask Rich Heckman to send them one. And there are a few extra copies here if anyone would like to have them. That concludes my report.

MR. KINGSTON: Any comments or questions about the report from Research Programs?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: Division of General Programs, Mr. Ritcheson.

#### General Programs

MR. RITCHESON: Mr. Chairman, during the open session, we received a brief report from the Director of the Division on recent Staff travel that included both representation of the Division at a number of professional meetings and site visits for the purpose of project evaluation.

The Committee was pleased to hear of these efforts, particularly, the efforts to evaluate the projects, and calls to the attention of the Council, several noteworthy projects.

We received a copy of <u>ARS Medica</u>. I am extremely sorry to note, in passing, the absence of Walter Berns because

this is my Berns part of the presentation which I exhibit with great pleasure to my colleagues on the Council, a catalogue accompanying an exhibition, mounted by the Philadelphia Museum of Art. The project explored the relationship between the medical sciences and the visual arts and is exemplary from the numerous related exhibitions of public programs, symposia, lectures and performances conducted in the Philadelphia area during the exhibition's venue.

Another project was Meeting the Brownings. And here too, is a handsome catalogue produced in conjunction with a project supported by the library's program which took place in Windfield, Kansas. The project involved a range of educational programs interpreting the lives and art of Elizabeth Barrett and Robert Browning in the Victorian Age.

We also heard a report on a project currently being conducted in Arkansas with the Public Humanities Projects, a support called, The Systems of the Spirit, an exploration of 300 years of Black history in Arkansas.

We were delighted too, to learn that Roanoke, a three part television drama about the first encounters between English and Indians on American soil, will air on public television stations beginning on Monday, May the 26th and each subsequent— not each subsequent Monday— two subsequent Mondays.

Finally, in the open session report, we noted with

Seaport Museum, where she will be Director of Programs.

Following a brief closed session we had the distinct pleasure of viewing The Life and Times of Huey Long, an historical documentary recently completed under the auspices of a grant from the Media Program. The film is both insightful and engaging. A product which clearly fulfills the goals of the Agency.

Mr. Chairman, that completes my report.

MR. KINGSTON: Are there any comments or questions about the report from General Programs?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: Report from the Division of State Programs, Ms. Cresimore.

#### State Programs

MS. CRESIMORE: The State Programs Committee met as scheduled on Thursday, May 8th. Guests during the Public Session included Sondra Myers, President of the Federation of State Humanities Councils and Margaret Kingsland, Acting Director of the Federation.

Marjorie Berlincourt reported on meetings held in
March and April with the Chairs and the Executive Directors of
the State Humanities Councils. She stated that budgetary,
programmatic and technical matters were discussed in the

meetings.

Jane Schall reported on one of the four orientation conferences held in April for new state Council members. Two conferences, including workshops on duties and responsibilities of state Council members, were held in Florida and California.

Carol Watson provided a progress report on the colloquium, Humanities and the American People, directed by Professor Merrill Peterson of the University of Virginia.

No policy matters were raised during the Committee meeting.

MR. KINGSTON: Are there any comments or questions about the report from State Programs?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: We will move next on the agenda then to discussion of any of the Emergency Grants which were approved. These are only the approved grants during the past quarter. There are four Emergency Grants and they are to be found in Tab G. Are there any questions about those four which have been approved?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: There were no grants approved which departed from Council recommendation last quarter. There being no comment, we will adjourn-- I am sorry Charles.

MR. RITCHESON: Mr. Chairman, before we adjourn, I

have a statement to make and it addresses itself to impending change at the Endowment.

I would say, first, after the Council had recovered its-- from its shock and consternation at the rumor that the Acting Chairman was actually being posted to Jakarta--

(Laughter)

MR. RITCHESON: --we began to think about some way of marking the change that is about to occur. So it is my very happy duty, Mr. Chairman, to introduce the resolution on behalf of the National Council on the Humanities. And it reads as follows:

"Resolved that the National Council on the

Humanities records its deep sense of admiration and gratitude

to Dr. John Agresto for his dedicated, strong and effective

leadership of the Endowment in its drive to encourage,

support and improve the quality of Humanities in the United

States. And that it takes this opportunity to extend its

cordial best wishes on his future career."

MR. KINGSTON: That is proposed as a resolution.

Is there a motion from the Council to adopt that resolution?

MR. SANDOZ: I so move.

MR. KINGSTON: Is there a second?

MR. : Second.

MR. KINGSTON: All those in favor.

(A show of hands was seen).

MR. KINGSTON: Any opposed. 1 (No response) 2 The resolution passes unanimously. MR. KINGSTON: 3 (Applause) 4 MR. AGRESTO: Why are you all even applauding that 5 6 I might be leaving? 7 (Laughter) MR. AGRESTO: I really have nothing to say except 8 thank you very much. It has been a pleasure to work with you. 9 This has been an absolutely-- I think the happiest four years 10 and the most rewarding four years I have ever spent in any 11 12 intellectual academic pursuit in my life. And you have been part of that and I thank you all very much. Thank you. 13 MR. KINGSTON: We will adjourn for ten minutes and 14 resume at 11:05 a.m. 15 (Brief recess) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### CLOSED SESSION

MR. KINGSTON: I would ask everyone to return to the table please. At this time we will reopen the meeting in Closed Session. In Tab I, is it, we have the Emergency Grants that were rejected. Are there any questions about the nine Emergency Grant requests that were rejected? I am sorry, it is not Tab I it is Tab G.

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: No questions. In that same Tab there are four Grant Applications that came to the Chairman as positive recommendations from the Council but they were rejected. Are there any questions about those four Applications?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: If not, we will continue with the reports from the Divisional Committees starting with the Division of Education Programs. Mr. Allen.

#### Education Programs

MR. ALLEN: I neglected to mention one thing that I intended to mention in the report at the public session, namely that the Education Committee has placed on its August agenda a review of guidelines. And I invite other Council members who have any questions or ideas about those things to communicate them to us on the Staff between now and that time.

The Committee had left the discussions of several

proposals and in one instance voted to reverse Staff

recommendation. Owing largely to panelists questions about the budget and about the amount of reading required for relatively brief Summer Institute, the Staff had recommended a Gates

Funding for Proposal ES-21362, A Humanities in the Schools

Project, to be sponsored by the Council of Chief State School Officers.

Members of the Committee were unanimous in their belief that the panel had misjudged this project which would provide a substantive experience in the Humanities for an audience crucial to the Educational Reform Movement. And we therefore, voted to place this proposal in the category of projects recommended for support.

We concur with the Staff's view that the budget should be reduced from the \$237,674 requested to \$200,000. And it is also our understanding that the Staff will review with the Project Director the panelists' concerns about the amount of reading to be included in the program.

The Committee notes, however, that those concerns seemed excessive in light of the clientele served and the experience of the proposers.

On one other Proposal ES-21314, The Yale New Haven
Teachers Institute, the Committee concurred with the Staff's
recommendation for funding but urged a reduction in the budget.
The Staff agreed and we therefore, forward this recommendation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the budget of \$245,000 rather than \$300,025 requested in outright funds.

The Committee raised budgetary questions about a number of other proposals as well. But we eventually agreed in each case to support the Staff's recommendations. We feel that it would be wise to review the Division's policy on Project Director's salaries for some programs. And we plan to make this a matter for discussion at a future meeting of the Committee.

Notwithstanding a few questions individual Committee members raised about particular proposals we felt very pleased with the projects and activities reflected in this round of proposals. And we commended the Division's Staff for its continued good work.

I now move adoption of the final motion you have before you.

> : So moved. MR.

Second. MR.

The motion is the green motion. MR. KINGSTON: there any comments or questions? Louise.

On pages 2, ES-20348, did I miss you MS. KERR: here that you raised the budget on this one?

MR. ALLEN: We certainly didn't raise any budgets. Let me see which you looked-- 20348?

> MS. KERR: Yes.

25

is--

MR. ALLEN: Columbia University? 1 MS. KERR: Right. 2 MR. ALLEN: What happened here? You will have to 3 allow us to recover that. 4 MS. KERR: Okay. 5 MR. KINGSTON: George. 6 MR. KENNEDY: I wanted to ask about under-- on 7 page 11, the rejection of the Application of the Modern 8 Language Association. I am not a member of the MLA but the 9 subject seems a crucial one. Just a comment or two about 10 these weaknesses and why it wasn't recommended seems to be 11 12 appropriate. MR. KINGSTON: Yes, the Staff wanted comment on the 13 reasons for rejection of the Modern Language Association to 14 EH-20606. 15 16 MR. ALLEN: Well, we did consider that at the Committee. We weren't satisfied and I will ask Pamela Menke 17 18 to address that question. 19 The panelists, having reviewed the MS. MENKE: proposal, felt there were substantive reasons for turning it 20 down. Everyone felt that the support of the Modern Language 21 Association was proper but that the proposal itself was not 22 23 sufficiently compelling to merit the support. Would you like

specific --? The panelists -- In other words the Panel itself

MR. KENNEDY: (Inaudible) I just want to make sure 1 that the Committee had thought about it. 2 MR. ALLEN: Well let me just say George, that the 3 prevailing rationale was that when you are faced with a 4 proposal which on the face of it you would expect to be 5 predisposed to and the proposal itself seems deficient it is 6 very difficult, indeed, to recommend approval. 7 MR. KENNEDY: I understand. 8 MR. KINGSTON: Pamela did you wish to comment on the 9 Columbia University budget? 10 MS. MENKE: The budget requested is every similar to 11 the budget endorsed. I--12 : There is a difference here of some 13 MR. \$15,000. 14 It looks like an increase. 15 MS. : Was it increased? MR. 16 MS. MENKE: It was increased. Is that the -- All 17 right. 18 MS. : We just want to know why? 19 MS. MENKE: The Project Director had not put in 20 sufficient money for board for the participants. 21 small amount of increase is for the increased amount of board. 22 23 How many participants? MS. KERR: There are 26 participants. 24 MS. (Laughter) 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KINGSTON: Phil, would you like to--

MR. SHAEFER: Also in our discussion yesterday, we turned down two proposals which I questioned, two proposals presented by the California Department of Education. many of you may know, and certainly Dr. Bennett knows, one of the most innovative educators in the country today is the California Superintendent of Public Instruction, Bill Honeig. Truly, you talked about getting back to basics, I mean, he almost makes Bennett look like a left-winger.

And the point is that these were two worthy efforts. One dealt with poetry in the public schools and one dealt with foreign language in the public schools. And the proposals were basically turned down and rightfully so because they were poorly put together, inadequate, put together without care and also really not worthy. And there is a difference between a proposal that is inadequate because of other failings and one that is inadequate because of complacency and laxity. And Bill Allen and I thought that this was so important that we wanted to call this to the attention of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, Bill Honeig.

I make this point because I don't know if this kind of thing takes place in other Committees but it would be a shame if good projects -- if important worthwhile projects were not funded because of this kind of laxity. And I wonder if there is anything we can do, Anita, to call this to the

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

attention, on a personal or any other way, call this to attention of -- Anita, you want to comment?

MS. SILVERS: I wanted to comment. I have not read either of those proposals; I know the projects. One of them has already been through in a somewhat different form. literature one has been through in last year's form last year. They, in fact, went ahead and did a project finding other and funding.

I don't know how successful you are going to be in communicating to the California State Department of Education the inadequacies of those projects. Because you are going to, to some extent, be dealing with somewhat entrenched views about how to teach literature that may not be the kinds of views that most of us would find missing.

Nevertheless, I think it is a useful enterprise to enter into that discussion. I believe, though, you will find that the Staff tried once before to have that discussion.

MR. KINGSTON: I should say that it is a more as conventional practice in all Divisions with this kind of situation to encourage resubmission. But generally that encouragement is directed to the project applicant and not to any superior officer of the applicant. Bill, please.

MR. ALLEN: I wanted to say about that question that one of the things we determined in our Committee meeting, and to which Phil referred, was that once all the official matters

are cleared in this, I intend to make it a point to speak with Bill Honeig about how these things are being done.

It came out in our deliberations that one of the reasons we thought the proposal from the Council of Chief State School Officers was so important is because these proposals demonstrate a very unclear understanding of the Humanities and the work of this Endowment. It is not so much the projects in themselves that are unworthy, I think, as Phil suggested, they are quite worthy in themselves but the approach to writing proposals for us and organizing them is wholly inadequate. And we believe that there is room for fairly direct and intensive discussions as a consequence.

MS. SILVERS: Bill, I plan to do that because I would--

MR. ALLEN: Bill and I were contemplating that you would.

(Laughter)

MR. ALLEN: And we are very pleased.

(Laughter)

MS. SILVERS: (Inaudible) San Francisco and have a nice dinner too.

(Laughter)

MR. KINGSTON: Any other comments or questions about the motion from the Education Division?

(No response)

1 MR. KINGSTON: All those in favor of the motion. (A chorus of Ayes was heard) 2 MR. KINGSTON: Opposed. 3 (No response) 4 MR. KINGSTON: Then the motion passes. 5 We turn now to the report from the Division of 6 Fellowships and Seminars. 7 Fellowship Programs 8 9 MS. HIMMELFARB: Yes, we considered only one program 10 written and that was the Travel to Collections. We had 11 received 592 applications. We are recommending 278 awards for a total of \$139,000. We are not recommending 314 applications. 12 I move passage of the motion-- the yellow folders 13 14 here. MR. KINGSTON: The yellow motion is a Fellowships 15 motion. Are there any questions or comments about any of the 16 applications therein? 17 (No response) 18 MR. KINGSTON: All those in favor of the motion. 19 (A chorus of Ayes was heard) 20 MR. KINGSTON: Opposed. 21 (No response) 22 MR. KINGSTON: That motion carries. 23 We will turn next to the report from the Committee 24 for Preservation. Father Schall. 25

FR. SCHALL: Mr. Chairman, you have before you the final motion from the Department of Preservation.

Preservation Grants

There was considerable discussion on the Program of the ES-20047 on the American Film Institute's request on an uninvolved mingling of technical difficulties and problems connected with the preservation of films which is very often quite fragile on the video materials. And also the relationship of the preservation process to the National Endowment for the Humanities and the relationship to the National Endowment for the Arts which--

I would like to move we (Inaudible)

MR. KINGSTON: Is there a second to the motion?

MR. : Second

MR. KINGSTON: All those-- Any discussion of the motion?

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: All those in favor please signify by saying Aye.

(A chorus of Ayes was heard)

MR. KINGSTON: Opposed.

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: The motion passes.

Report from the Division of Research Programs.

Mr. Kass.

### Research Programs

MR. KASS: The Committee in Research Programs considered applications primarily in additions, tools and in Centers for Advanced Study for Blocks of Research Fellowships and a small number of grants and publications events and access in interpretive research.

I would like to call your attention to three applications in which the Committee departed from the recommendation of Staff.

First, there are two applications on page four of the goldenrod colored motion, RE-20571, on The Complete Correspondence Between Thomas Jefferson and James Madison and RE-20577, A Definitive Edition of John Locke's Writings on Religious Toleration. These two had not been recommended for support by Panel and Staff but the Committee felt that the work was of sufficient importance and that whatever defects existed could be remedied in the context of the current application and therefore, are recommending approval.

I would also like to call your attention to page 14, RE-20608, proposal from Hebrew Union College in Cincinnati for a Complete Edition of the Yiddish Works of Sholom Aleichem. The Panel had noted— The Panel had originally recommended this application for deferral. It received a low priority and came in with the recommendation for "Not Recommended." But because the Panel had noted that the people qualified to carry

possible the defects of the proposal ought to be discussed 2 with the applicant quickly and if they were addressed 3 satisfactorily that the Grant be awarded soon rather than 4 after another annual application cycle. 5 In all other respects the Committee endorsed the 6 recommendations of Staff. I move, Mr. Chairman, the 7 acceptance of our report. 8 MR. KINGSTON: Is there a second to the motion? 9 MR. SANDOZ: Second. 10 MR. KINGSTON: Any discussion of the motion from 11 Research? Louise. 12 MS. KERR: Do I need to report officially that I 13 abstained, not for reasons of conflict of interest on RE-20571? 14 MR. KINGSTON: RE-20570? 15 MS. KERR: Seven one. 16 MR. KINGSTON: Seven one. 17 MS. KERR: Page four. That is the--18 MR. KINGSTON: Yes, we will record that for the 19 purpose of the minutes; 20571. 20 Any other comments or questions? 21 (No response) 22 MR. KINGSTON: All those in favor of the motion 23 please signify by saying Aye. (A chorus of Ayes was heard) 25

out this project are extremely elderly, we felt that if

MR. KINGSTON: Opposed.

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: Then the motion passes.

The next report from the Division of General Programs. Mr. Ritcheson.

# General Programs

MR. RITCHESON: Mr. Chairman, the Committee reviewed a total of 154 applications from the Museums and Historical Organizations Program. They requested approximately \$15 million.

We concurred with the Staff on all recommendations finding material exceptionally clear and well-presented. We recommend support for 37 applications representing a wide variety of topics and approaches including Pre-Columbian Art, Early American Dutch Culture, Domestic Art of the Early Christians, the Gilio(?) Feast in Brooklyn, Iranian Textile, Chicago Architecture, the History of the Macaque(?) Indians in Washington State and the Statue of Liberty.

I call your attention to several applications of special interest. GM-23052, on page one, is entitled Great Excavations: A Century of American Archeology in the Near East, 1889-1989. This should offer the public a unique opportunity to learn about the methodology of archeology and the development of the disciplined while exploring excavations that have contributed to the understanding of our cultural

and religious heritage.

GM-23097, on page three, is an example of a self-study project that allows an institution to evaluate its resources in Humanities; to assess the effectiveness of its current programming and to develop long-range plans for educational programs. We believe that this project from the Hawaii Maritime Center will be exemplary, leading to improved interpretive programs for the general public.

The American Wing Study Center of the Metropolitan Museum of Art; that is GM-23144, on page five, will, we believe, be a model interpretive installation for a museum study collection. It will make available to the public and to scholars alike more than 8,000 artifacts from the Metropolitan's American Collection which are currently inaccessible.

Three media program applications were reviewed by the Committee and we concurred with the Staff recommendation to award \$50,000 for advertising and promotion of the nine-part series The Africans, GN-22943, on page 27. This ambitious series examines Africa through its triple heritage; what is indigenous; what is contributed by Islam; and what is acquired from the West. The series is scheduled for broadcast on PBS in the fall of 1986 and has been supported by the Annenberg Foundation, the BBC and a previous grant of \$600,000 from the Endowment.

A BURE

The Committee also agreed with the Staff recommendation not to offer support for another season of the Shakespeare Hour, GN-22720, page 28. The series, as you may recall, reformated the three-hour BBC Shakespeare plays into one-hour segments and is hosted by Walter Mattheau. The series is organized around the themes of love, power and revenge. In the first season five plays were presented on the theme of love.

The Committee and the Staff concluded that despite

a highly professional and intensive effort on the part of the

applicant to attract new and larger audiences to these

Shakespeare plays, the response from the PBS stations and the

public was too limited to warrant the substantial expenditures

required to produce another season.

With respect to the Application GN-22705, page 28,
The Philippines and the United States: A History, which
proposes to examine Philippine history from the late 19th
century to the present, the Committee did not sustain the
Staff's recommendation of \$50,000 to support the scripting of
the first two parts. The Committee based its decision on
several points but primarily on the belief that the project is
more journalistic than scholarly in both content and
methodology.

Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report and I recommend the adoption of a motion.

MR. KINGSTON: Second the motion? 1 MR. I second it. 2 MR. KINGSTON: Discussion of this motion. Louise, 3 please. 4 MS. KERR: Is the GN-22705 that same proposal that 5 we heard last time? 6 7 MR. RITCHESON: That is right. 8 MS. KERR: As I recall last time it was endorsed by the Committee and had been endorsed all the way up as a very 9 fine proposal. But that I thought that the reason we were 10 given was the time. 11 MR. RITCHESON: It was not, in fact, endorsed by 12 the Committee. The Committee decided to defer it. 13 MS. KERR: I remember that it was deferred but not 14 for reasons of quality as I recall. 15 MR. RITCHESON: Well, I think that is not in my 16 recollection. But the motion speaks for itself. 17 MS. KERR: Well, it doesn't speak for itself if, 18 in fact, the same proposal is not now even as high -- thought 19 to be of as high quality as it was in May. I mean I just 20 want to clarify it, what the reasons for the deferral were. 21 MR. COHN: Well, the reason for the deferral at 22 that time was-- I think I made the motion for a deferral was 23 because we were in the middle of a tremendous series of

social and political events going on in the Philippines. And

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J.

I thought it would be highly inappropriate for us to go ahead and make a grant without knowing what the possible outcome could be. Now we know what the outcome has been but Charles' description of why we turned it down is quite It is not an emphasis on Humanities of scholarship but rather journalism. And I don't think it falls in the purview of NEH.

> MR. KINGSTON: Leon.

MR. KASS: Charles, did I hear you to say that the grant of \$50,000 on the program The Africans was for advertising?

MR. RITCHESON: Yes, that is correct Leon. Let me Where is it? We had previously funded a considerable sum Leon.

MR. GIBSON: We previously supported over \$600,000 Matching Grant which have they have fully matched and now we are recommending a small amount of money for promotion and advertising. Principally that means press kits and, in some cases, taking out advertising in local newspapers.

MR. KASS: Well, I am not sure \$50,000 is a small or large amount of money but I wonder about the principle. And is there some policy on the question of whether Endowment funds are used for promotion in this class. I am simply ignorant.

> MR. RITCHESON: It certainly has a long line of

General Programs?

precedents Leon. This is not breaking any new ground. We have done this sort of thing in the past.

MR. KASS: Have we done this only in the area of

MR. GIBSON: I do not know. It would be my assumption that that would be the case.

MR. KINGSTON: I don't recall any other cases where we have engaged in promotion. It is in General Programs because we have to get packets to the various schools, institutions and so forth, that might be using the materials. The PBS stations also have to run announcements about the programming that occurs and so on.

MS. KERR: Isn't it ordinarily though given it is a the larger proposal? I mean, this sticks out because it is a separate proposal.

MR. GIBSON: That is true. On an occasion one would have to return requesting— in a special promotion advertising money and we would review that as with the others or bring it to the attention of the Council, we do allow applicants, as part of the original proposal, to include up to \$25,000 in a request for promotion and advertising. That has been our reluctance to fund at a high level promotion funds and so we have limited them through the regular application but they may come back for something more larger.

We have been reluctant to, you know, provide the

amount of funds that really is probably required to a major promotional activity. We have covered-- Most of our funds will go into production not that. This is, however, a most modest request to promote a program of this magnitude.

MR. KINGSTON: Other questions or comments on this?

MS. RHOME: Mr. Chairman. Thank you. I wonder if
we could have a little more description about what happened
to the Shakespeare Hour. This deeply grieves me. I do
realize that perhaps it does not draw the same numbers or
attract the same numbers as a program on M.A.S.H. might do
but, by the same token, is it being— are you saying that the
numbers are so sparse?

MR. KINGSTON: We will have to investigate-MR. RITCHESON: I think we will have-- May I have-Sorry.

MR. KINGSTON: Go ahead Charles.

MR. RITCHESON: I think we will have to have a Staff person give us the details to substantiate the general statement in my report that the program did not attract sufficiently large audiences to warrant our reformating the next series of plays.

MS. RHOME: Well, we have been assigning them for school children and students and people all over our particular state and I know this is true in other states.

And we have also just discussed it both at the American

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Shakespeare Association Meeting and the International Shakespeare Association Meeting as one of the media by which we can honestly get Shakespeare into homes where we-- and to persons hands and with an understanding that they could never get before.

MR. RITCHESON: You understand Frances, that this is a reformating of the BBC productions.

MS. RHOME: I understand that.

MR. RITCHESON: So that I-- As a matter of fact, I raised the very question you have raised in our discussion yesterday, wondering about the accessibility to school audiences and so on. And we discussed this at least briefly and the conclusion was that the plays in their original form would serve the purpose equally well.

MR. COHN: Each station has made its own decision as to when it is going to broadcast the programs. There were a number of stations that didn't broadcast any of them; public broadcasting. And sometimes when they did-- not sometimes, frequently when they did broadcast them there were hours that were completely awful in terms of trying to attract an audience.

You have to bear in mind that each station makes its own decision and how that decision is based, depends upon each one of the idiosyncrasies, each one is a public broadcasting I regret that the showing was as awful as it was.

That was a fact, period.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MS. RHOME: Well, I can understand those things. I just didn't know why we would withdraw our support of the program, per se?

MR. GIBSON: If I can make a brief comment. Following the Council's decision to defer this application some time ago, the Staff conducted an extensive, exhaustive evaluation of both the quality of the programs and of the viewership for the first season. We contacted reviewers throughout the country of whom we asked to review the entire series and to review the educational materials which accompanied the series.

Moreover, we did a study of the actual audience or viewership for the series. The review of the quality of the educational materials was quite high. The review of the propriety or advisability of reformating into one hour was quite mixed. Some felt that it was -- increased the accessibility, if you will, of Shakespeare's plays. Others preferred to see the entire Shakespeare's play or see the play in its entirety.

One of the key elements, however, upon which we made our ultimate and final recommendation was the actual audience size. When BBC plays were originally aired on public television they attracted an average of 1.2 to 1.3 million viewers per episode. The episodes which we checked for the

Shakespeare Hour, as reformated, attracted audiences respectively, of 1.2 million and 90,000.

We had, therefore, with great reluctance, to conclude that it simply was not attracting a larger audience to this. And, indeed, as Marcus has indicated, part of that is the result of the decisions by individual television stations which scheduled them in hours that are not convenient for many of the viewers. We have no control over that nor does PBS. And from all of our conversations we have received no indication that PBS stations willfully would alter that decision. And therefore, we reluctantly arrived at the recommendation.

MS. RHOME: Thank you for your explanation.

MR. RITCHESON: Mr. Chairman, I might just say that with tongue in cheek a bit, I think they made a fundamental error in promoting the first series around the theme of love. They would have had much more action, I suspect, if they had adopted power or revenge.

MS. RHOME: One of the elements in the Shakespeare's plays that has to do with love is the fact that if we do love our fellow man we would have a much more peaceful world. And it comes across very clearly in the plays. It is not eroticism per se, and for that reason I think that it would be a very fine theme.

MR. KINGSTON: Leon.

MR. RITCHESON: I will keep my tongue to the outside

my cheek.

(Laughter)

MR. KINGSTON: Leon.

MR. KASS: I would like to just return to this question of advertising for a moment. I understand why if one is investing a large amount of funds in public programs—in General Programs, that it would be a shame not to pay some attention to seeing to it that these programs actually get aired and so on.

On the other hand, I think a case could be made that there are lots of other things that we do that would benefit from comparable kinds of promotion. Comparing the value, for example, of promoting the sale of The Mark Twain Series as opposed to promoting the airing of—compared to the airing of <a href="Huck Finn">Huck Finn</a>. I wonder if one might ask Staff to just take up the question of the policy of precedents in policy on use of our funds for advertising in the Endowment for some subsequent Council meeting?

MS. RHOME: I second that recommendation.

MR. RITCHESON: Mr. Chairman, I would like to-- I am sorry Father Schall.

FR. SCHALL: I was going to say I would like to associate myself with that position but I do think there is a question of principle that needs to be thought about. I think we have thought about it in some previous Council group but it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

does seem to be that there are implications to either you should include this as a standard practice in-- up front in what you agree with the first time or the whole thing itself should be rejected.

MR. KINGSTON: What we can do is, at least, start by describing the use that we have made of funds for promotion here at the Endowment and use that as a beginning point for discussion at the August Council, if you like.

> MR. KASS: I don't have a position on this.

MR. KINGSTON: Certainly.

MR. KASS: I would like to be informed along with (Inaudible).

MR. RITCHESON: Mr. Chairman, I would like to be very clear on something. That when we talk about advertising we are not talking about spot promotions or anything of that sort, we are talking about very useful, in my experience, in any event, very useful booklets, course materials, written commentaries, that sort of thing, which are designed to enhance the use of our, let me say, sir, our product to schools, to museums and to a wider audience. It is not a frivolous kind of PR.

I don't think my remarks should have suggested otherwise but it seems to me one could, in principle, do the same sorts of things for others of our products, texts and things of that sort. And I think it would be useful for

us, at least, to spend some time thinking about it collectively. MR. I agree. 2 MR. KINGSTON: As a beginning of that exploration 3 let's describe what we do do and then take the discussion 4 from there reporting back to the August Council. Louise. 5 MS. KERR: But just for clarification or point of 6 information. Is it not true that perhaps it is the labeling 7 that is wrong? Because it is my impression that much of this 8 money goes, in fact, to the scholars. Does it not? 9 MR. GIBSON: For the promotion? 10 MS. KERR: Yes, in the promotional materials. 11 this not sort of-- the commentaries, don't we spend a lot of 12 that money on -- Is it on the slip material and on the paper, 13 the printing, is that what we are spending money on? 14 MR. GIBSON: Certainly part of it would be going for 15 the materials and for some ads in local papers or ads in TV 16 Guide on some of the amounts that go for scholars. 17 shall be some of it that will go for scholars if there is 18 written text involved with that. 19 MR. KINGSTON: John. 20 I would just like a little further MR. AGRESTO: 21 clarification on the -- if we can go back for a second, on the 22 Philippines project. Yes, we are to fund scholarly works and 23

not journalism.

24

25

I was just wondering the ground of the

journalistic nature of this as it was presented to you and

Committee yesterday.

When it first came up I thought it was the sense of Council that the real danger of journalism was the later episodes; the more contemporary episodes. Therefore, we concentrated our attention on the earlier, the more historical episodes. And I was just wondering why you thought or the Committee thought that the earlier episodes were journalistic and not historical or scholarly?

MR. RITCHESON: You understand that we can have three months or so to think about this project. My original reaction to the project was dubious. And speaking now personally, not for my Committee, though I note that the Committee was unanimous in its rejection of this project. During these intervening months I hadn't read and thought about this matter very carefully. I concluded that the project, as such, was journalism and not history. That is to say it was not an objective pursuit of the truth about the past. But it was, in fact, a case of— in search of evidence to support a predetermined conclusion. This is not history.

Furthermore, I concluded that the proposal, as it stands, could not be funded because the end which it gave us in the proposal simply no longer applies or at least it is not the state of affairs at the moment.

This leads to the, I think, untenable position of asking if we should have thought about doing so; asking the

Aquino accession. Perhaps, who knows, even involving a more 2 3 charitable view of the American legacy in the Philippines. 4 And by the time we got that back, perhaps, something else would have required a treatment of more current current events. 5 short, what we have here is a problem of journalism and not 6 history. And as I understand it the NEH is not in the 7 journalism business. 8 MR. AGRESTO: But the proposal before you was, in 9 fact, to see scripts throughout and to the early period, 10 correct? To fund \$50,000 scripts? 11 MR. RITCHESON: My case rests on this. That though 12 the scripts applied to the earlier period they were directed 13 toward a conclusion already established. And, hence, do not 14 fall within my view of what history is. 15 MR. KINGSTON: Phil. 16 In summary, what Charles is saying, MR. SHAEFER: 17 to paraphrase Imelda Marcos, if the shoes fit, wear them. 18 (Laughter) 19 I thank my distinguished colleague 20 MR. RITCHESON: for the gloss. 21 (Laughter) 22 MR. KINGSTON: Any other comments or questions? 23 (No response) 24

MR. KINGSTON: All those in favor of the motion

writers to rewrite their proposal; to take into account the

please signify by saying Aye.

(A chorus of Ayes was heard)

MR. KINGSTON: Opposed.

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: Report from the State Programs,
Ms. Cresimore.

# State Programs

MS. CRESIMORE: Please refer to the final motion on the white pages. The Committee recommends approval of the Applications for State and Regional Awards for exemplary projects that appear on pages one and two of the final motion beginning with SO-20690 through SO-20709.

Out of a total of 22 applications involving 26 states, nine are recommended for funding. The Committee was pleased with the range of topics and the quality of the proposals.

The Committee also recommends, for Council's approval, Application SO-20710, from the National Federation of State Humanities Councils for National Services to the State Councils. The recommendation provides funding for one year up to \$190,000 pending further Staff work.

The applications on the third and fourth page of the final motion, SO-20688 through SO-20708, are not recommended by the Committee for funding.

The Committee paid particular attention to SO-20699, New Jersey, and SO-20708, Vermont, and concurred with Staff

recommendations not to fund the proposals. I move that these recommendations be adopted.

MR. KINGSTON: Is there a second to the motion?

Mr. : Second

MR. KINGSTON: Comments. Anita.

MS. SILVERS: This is not a comment on your decisions but a request that, perhaps, State Committee people look at this entire program because it seems to me when this was initiated there was a suggestion that it would improve the quality of State Programs because we expected the majority of State Programs to be participating.

My sense is that the applications—a number of applications are somewhat disappointing, at least, as I recall, the original discussion which supposed that all of the states—almost all of the states would apply and—May I just finish Audrey?

And, in addition, I have-- I am trying to remember which states have won before. But I believe I have seen some recidivists here and I am a little bit curious about the number of times particular states have won the award; has any state won it three times, for instance; how many states have won it twice?

MS. BERLINCOURT: We have considered to study the program, Anita. I think the numbers are a little misleading because nine states that won awards last year are still working

states -- 35 states currently involved. 2 MS. SILVERS: There is still a ratio of about 3 4 nearly -- just about one in two, isn't it, which is for NEH programs a pretty high funding ratio. 5 MS. CRESIMORE: Well, we will ask Staff to prepare 6 some information; do some research on that then. 7 MR. KINGSTON: Other comments or questions? 8 MR. COHN: I have a question I would like to ask. 9 MR. KINGSTON: Yes. 10 There are 10 applications for approval. 11 I notice in five of them the amount approved is somewhat less 12 than the amount requested. Is there anything in common among 13 the five that there has been a reduction in the amount 14 requested? Is there a common denominator in terms of reducing 15 the amount? 16 MS. BERLINCOURT: No, not really. We took a close 17 look at each of the budgets. There were certain things that 18 we felt could very easily be reduced. 19 MS. SILVERS: What was cutting out of the 20 Federations? 21 MS. BERLINCOURT: The absentee support and there is 22 no support being provided for Federation review. 23 MR. KINGSTON: Yes, Charles. 24 MR. RITCHESON: Have we voted on this yet? 25

on their exemplary projects. So, in effect, there are 36

MR. KINGSTON: No, we haven't. Other comment or question?

Those in favor of the motion signify by saying Aye.

(A chorus of Ayes was heard)

MR. KINGSTON: Opposed.

(No response)

MR. KINGSTON: Let me do note for the record Council met in Executive Session from 9:00 o'clock until 10:00 o'clock this morning for discussion of the 1987 Jefferson Lectureship. That is simply an official notification for the minutes.

Is there any other comment to make before the--

MR. RITCHESON: Mr. Chairman, something very briefly because I don't want to hold us further. Some of us have been discussing the possibility of Council members representing the National Endowment for the Humanities at exhibitions, at openings, in their native states or territories. This is not in otherwise a suggestion that we are looking for travel expenses or anything of that sort. But it does strike some of us that our presence in the absence of the Chairman or more senior representative of the Endowment might well bring some publicity favorable, I trust, to the Endowment and I would like to reserve this for discussion at the next Council.

MR. KINGSTON: Discussion of representation, fine. We will put that on the agenda. Any other comment or

The only comment involves speaking

question? Phil. MR. SHAEFER: probably to Charles. Thoughts that have occurred on this needing a member of the Council, if anyone has an idea how we might officially or unofficially represent the National Endowment at the Centennial of the Statue of Liberty, that is a hot ticket.

(Laughter)

MR. KINGSTON: We are sending up one of our best program people to guide the ships past the Statue.

(Laughter)

MR. KINGSTON: I declare the meeting closed at this time -- adjourned at this time.

(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m., on May 9, 1986.)

20

21

22

23

24

25